arXiv:1702.02067v1 [math.CO] 7 Feb 2017

A q-analogue of $\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$-Whitney Numbers

B. S. El-Desouky[1] and F. A. Shiha*[1]

[1] Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, 35516 Mansoura, Egypt

February 8, 2017

Abstract

We define the $(q, \bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})$-Whitney numbers which are reduced to the $\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$-Whitney numbers when $q \to 1$. Moreover, we obtain several properties of these numbers such as explicit formulas, recurrence relations, generating functions, orthogonality and inverse relations. Finally, we define the $\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$-Whitney-Lah numbers as a generalization of the r-Whitney-Lah numbers and we introduce their important basic properties.

Keywords: $(q, \bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})$-Whitney numbers, $\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$-Whitney numbers, r-Whitney numbers, q-Stirling numbers; $\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$-Whitney-Lah numbers.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* Primary 05A19 ; Secondary 05A15, 05E05.

1 Introduction

El-Desouky et al. [5] introduced the $\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$-Whitney numbers of both kinds as a new family of numbers generalizing many types of numbers such as r-Whitney numbers, Whitney numbers, r-Stirling numbers, Jacobi-Stirling numbers and Legendre-Stirling numbers.

The $\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$-Whitney numbers of the first kind $w_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)$ and second kind $W_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)$ are defined by

$$(x;\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}|m)_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} w_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)x^k, \tag{1.1}$$

and

$$x^n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} W_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)(x;\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}|m)_k, \tag{1.2}$$

*Corresponding author.

where $\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1})$, and

$$(x; \bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}|m)_n = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (x - \alpha_j - jm) \text{ with } (x; \bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}|m)_0 = 1.$$

The $\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$-Whitney numbers of the first and second kind satisfying recurrence relations of the form:

$$w_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n+1,k) = w_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k-1) - (\alpha_n + nm) w_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k),$$

$$W_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n+1,k) = W_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k-1) + (\alpha_k + km) W_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k).$$

Note that the $\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$-Whitney numbers coincide with the r-Whitney numbers and Whitney numbers by setting $\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = (r, r, \ldots, r)$ and $\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = (1, 1, \ldots, 1)$, respectively. Many properties of the $\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$-Whitney numbers, r-Whitney numbers and Whitney numbers can be found in [5, 4, 1, 8, 10, 11, 12].

The organization of this article is as follows. In the next two sections, we define the q-analogue of the $\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$-Whitney numbers of the first and second kind denoted by $w_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)$ and $W_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)$, respectively, and obtain their recurrence relations, explicit formulas and generating functions. In the third section, we obtain the orthogonality property of the both kinds of the $(q, \bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})$-Whitney numbers which yields to the inverse relations. Moreover we give some important special cases. In the fourth section, we define the $\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$-Whitney-Lah numbers and deduce its recurrence relation, explicit formula and matrix representation.

Let $0 < q < 1$, x a real number, $[x]_q = 1 + q + \cdots + q^{x-1}$ and $[0]_q = 0$. The q-factorial of x is defined by $[x]_q! = [x]_q [x-1]_q \cdots [2]_q [1]_q$, and the q-falling factorial of order n is defined by

$$([x]_q)_n = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} [x-j]_q \text{ and } ([x]_q)_o = 1.$$

Moreover, the following definitions and notation are introduced.

$$([x; \bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}]_q)_n = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} [x - \alpha_j]_q = [x-\alpha_0]_q [x-\alpha_1]_q \cdots [x-\alpha_{n-1}]_q \text{ with } ([x; \bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}]_q)_0 = 1,$$

$$([x; \bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}|m]_q)_n = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} [x - \alpha_j - jm]_q, \text{ with } ([x; \bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}|m]_q)_0 = 1,$$

and

$$\left\langle [x; \bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}|m]_q \right\rangle_n = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} ([x]_q - [\alpha_j + jm]_q), \text{ with } \left\langle [x; \bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}|m]_q \right\rangle_0 = 1.$$

2 The $(q, \bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})$-Whitney numbers of the first kind

Definition 1. *The $(q, \bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})$-Whitney numbers of the first kind $w_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)$ are defined by*

$$\left\langle [x; \bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}|m]_q \right\rangle_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} w_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)[x]_q^k, \tag{2.1}$$

where $w_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(0,0) = 1$ and $w_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k) = 0$ for $k > n$ or $k < 0$.

Since for the q-numbers we have $[x-y]_q = q^{-y}([x]_q - [y]_q)$. Then

$$([x; \bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}|m]_q)_n = q^{-\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_i + im} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} ([x]_q - [\alpha_j + jm]_q).$$

Thus Eq. (2.1) in Definition 1 can be written in the equivalent form

$$([x; \bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}|m]_q)_n = q^{-\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_i + im} \sum_{k=0}^{n} w_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)[x]_q^k.$$

In particular, note that $w_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)$ is reduced to the $w_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)$ when $q \to 1$.

Theorem 1. *The $(q, \bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})$-Whitney numbers of the first kind satisfy the recurrence relation*

$$w_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n+1,k) = w_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k-1) - [\alpha_n + nm]_q w_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k), \tag{2.2}$$

where $n \geq k \geq 1$, and

$$w_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,0) = (-1)^n \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} [\alpha_i + im]_q. \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. Since $\left\langle [x; \bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}|m]_q \right\rangle_{n+1} = \left\langle [x; \bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}|m]_q \right\rangle_n ([x]_q - [\alpha_n + nm]_q)$.

Using Eq. (2.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} w_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n+1,k)[x]_q^k = \left\langle [x; \bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}|m]_q \right\rangle_n ([x]_q - [\alpha_n + nm]_q) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n} w_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)[x]_q^k ([x]_q - [\alpha_n + nm]_q) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n} w_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)[x]_q^{k+1} - [\alpha_n + nm]_q \sum_{k=0}^{n} w_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)[x]_q^k \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} w_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k-1)[x]_q^k - [\alpha_n + nm]_q \sum_{k=0}^{n} w_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)[x]_q^k.
\end{aligned}$$

Equating the coefficients of $[x]_q^k$ on both sides yields (2.2).

For $k = 0$, we find

$$w_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n+1,0) = -[\alpha_n + nm]_q \, w_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,0) \, , \; n = 0,1,2,\ldots,$$

successive application gives (2.3). □

Definition 2. *The $(q,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})$-Whitney matrix of the first kind is the $n \times n$ lower triangular matrix defined by*

$$\mathbf{W}_1 := \mathbf{w}_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n) := \left(w_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(i,j)\right)_{0 \le i,j \le n-1}.$$

For example when $n = 4$ the matrix $\mathbf{w}_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n)$ is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -[\alpha_0]_q & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ [\alpha_0]_q[\alpha_1+m]_q & -[\alpha_0]_q-[\alpha_1+m]_q & 1 & 0 \\ -[\alpha_0]_q[\alpha_1+m]_q[\alpha_2+2m]_q & [\alpha_0]_q[\alpha_1+m]_q+[\alpha_0+\alpha_1+m]_q[\alpha_2+2m]_q & -[\alpha_0]_q-[\alpha_1+m]_q-[\alpha_2+2m]_q & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

In particular, we note that $\mathbf{w}_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n)$ is reduced to the $\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$-Whiyney matrix of the first kind [5] when $q \to 1$. In addition at $q \to 1$ and $\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = (r,r,\ldots,r)$ the $\mathbf{w}_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n)$ is reduced to the r-Whitney matrix of the first kind [12].

Mansour et al. [9] derived a closed formula for all sequences satisfying a certain recurrence relation as follows:

Theorem 2. [9, Theorem 1.1]. *Suppose $(a_i)_{i\ge 0}$ and $(b_i)_{i\ge 0}$ are sequences of numbers with $b_i \neq b_j$ when $i \neq j$ and*

$$u(n,k) = u(n-1,k-1) + (a_{n-1} + b_k)u(n-1,k), \tag{2.4}$$

with boundary conditions $u(n,0) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1}(a_i + b_0)$ and $u(0,k) = \delta_{0k}$, where δ_{jk} is the Kronecker delta function, then

$$u(n,k) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \left(\frac{\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}(b_j + a_i)}{\prod_{i=0,i\neq j}^{k}(b_j - b_i)} \right), \quad \forall\, n,k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.5}$$

Remark 1. [9, p. 25]

1. *The recurrence for $u(n,k)$ is given by*

$$u(n,k) = \sum_{j=k}^{n} u(j-1,k-1) \prod_{i=j}^{n-1}(a_i + b_k). \tag{2.6}$$

2. *In the case when $b_i = 0$ for all i, then $u(n,k)$ is the $(n-k)$th elementary symmetric function of $a_0,\ a_1,\ \ldots,\ a_{n-1}$. The elementary symmetric function σ_k is defined by*

$$\sigma_k(z_1,z_2,\ldots,z_n) = \sum_{1\le j_1<j_2<\cdots<j_k\le n} \prod_{i=1}^{k} z_{j_i},$$

where $\sigma_0 = 1$ and $\sigma_k = 0$ when $n < k$ or $k < 0$.

Theorem 3. *The $(q,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})$-Whitney numbers of the first kind are given by*

$$\begin{aligned} w_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k) &= (-1)^{n-k}\,\sigma_{n-k}([\alpha_0]_q,\,[\alpha_1+m]_q,\,\ldots,\,[\alpha_{n-1}+(n-1)m]_q) \\ &= (-1)^{n-k}\sum_{0\le j_1<\cdots<j_{n-k}\le n-1}\prod_{i=1}^{n-k}[\alpha_{j_i}+j_i\,m]_q. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

and the following recurrence relation holds:

$$w_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k) = (-1)^{n-k}\sum_{j=k}^{n} w_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(j-1,k-1)\prod_{i=j}^{n-1}[\alpha_i+im]_q. \tag{2.8}$$

Proof. Taking $a_i=-[\alpha_i+im]_q$ and $b_i=0$, one can use Remark 1 to obtain Eq. (2.7) and Eq. (2.8). □

From Eq. (2.7), we can obtain the generating function

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} w_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)x^k = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1}(x-[\alpha_j+jm]_q). \tag{2.9}$$

As $q\to 1$, Eq. (2.8) reduces to a new recurrence relation for the $\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$-Whitney numbers of the first kind given by

$$w_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k) = (-1)^{n-k}\sum_{j=k}^{n} w_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(j-1,k-1)\prod_{i=j}^{n-1}(\alpha_i+im).$$

3 The $(q,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})$-Whitney numbers of the second kind

Definition 3. *The $(q,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})$-Whitney numbers of the second kind $W_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)$ are defined by*

$$[x]_q^n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} W_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)\big\langle [x;\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}|m]_q\big\rangle_k, \tag{3.1}$$

where $W_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(0,0)=1$ and $W_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)=0$ for $k>n$ or $k<0$.

We notice that Eq. (3.1) in Definition 3 can be written in the equivalent form

$$[x]_q^n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} q^{\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\alpha_i+im} W_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)([x;\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}|m]_q)_k.$$

Theorem 4. *The $(q,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})$-Whitney numbers of the second kind satisfy the recurrence relation*

$$W_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n+1,k) = W_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k-1) + [\alpha_k+km]_q W_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k), \tag{3.2}$$

where $n\ge k\ge 1$, for $k=0$ we have

$$W_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,0) = [\alpha_0]_q^n. \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. Since we have $[x]_q^{n+1} = [x]_q^n\,([x]_q - [\alpha_k + km]_q + [\alpha_k + km]_q)$. Using (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} W_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n+1,k)\big\langle [x;\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}|m]_q \big\rangle_k \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n} W_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)\big\langle [x;\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}|m]_q \big\rangle_k ([x]_q - [\alpha_k + km]_q + [\alpha_k + km]_q) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n} W_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)\big\langle [x;\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}|m]_q \big\rangle_{k+1} + \sum_{k=0}^{n} [\alpha_k + km]_q W_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)\big\langle [x;\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}|m]_q \big\rangle_k \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} W_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k-1)\big\langle [x;\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}|m]_q \big\rangle_k + \sum_{k=0}^{n} [\alpha_k + km]_q W_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)\big\langle [x;\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}|m]_q \big\rangle_k.
\end{aligned}$$

Equating the coefficients of $\big\langle [x;\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}|m]_q \big\rangle_k$ on both sides, we obtain Eq. (3.2).

When $k = 0$, we get $W_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n+1,0) = [\alpha_0]_q\, W_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,0),\ n = 0,1,2,\ldots$. Thus $W_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,0) = [\alpha_0]_q^n\, W_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(0,0) = [\alpha_0]_q^n$. □

Definition 4. *The $(q,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})$-Whitney matrix of the second kind is the $n \times n$ lower triangular matrix defined by*

$$\mathbf{W}_2 := \mathbf{W}_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n) := \big(W_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(i,j)\big)_{0\le i,j\le n-1}.$$

For example when $n = 4$, the matrix $\mathbf{W}_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n)$ is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
[\alpha_0]_q & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
[\alpha_0]_q^2 & [\alpha_0]_q + [\alpha_1 + m]_q & 1 & 0 \\
[\alpha_0]_q^3 & [\alpha_0]_q^2 + [\alpha_0]_q[\alpha_1 + m]_q + [\alpha_1 + m]_q^2 & [\alpha_0]_q + [\alpha_1 + m]_q + [\alpha_2 + 2m]_q & 1
\end{pmatrix}$$

When $q \to 1$ the matrix $\mathbf{W}_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n)$ is reduced to the $\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$-Whiyney matrix of the second kind [5], also at $q \to 1$ and $\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = (r, r, \ldots, r)$ the $\mathbf{W}_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n)$ is reduced to the r-Whitney matrix of the second kind [4, 12].

Theorem 5. *The $(q,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})$-Whitney numbers of the second kind $W_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)$ have the explicit formula*

$$W_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{([\alpha_j + jm]_q)^n}{\prod_{i=0,i\neq j}^{k} ([\alpha_j + jm]_q - [\alpha_i + im]_q)}, \tag{3.4}$$

and satisfy the recurrence relation

$$\begin{aligned} W_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k) &= \sum_{j=k}^{n} W_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(j-1,k-1) \prod_{i=j}^{n-1} [\alpha_k + km]_q \\ &= \sum_{j=k}^{n} W_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(j-1,k-1) \big([\alpha_k + km]_q\big)^{n-j}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. Taking $a_i = 0$ and $b_i = [\alpha_i + im]_q$ in (2.5) and (2.6), yield (3.4) and (3.5), respectively. □

As $q \to 1$, the recurrence relation (3.5) reduces to a new recurrence relation for the $\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$-Whitney numbers of the second kind given by

$$\begin{aligned} W_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k) &= \sum_{j=k}^{n} W_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(j-1,k-1) \prod_{i=j}^{n-1} (\alpha_k + km) \\ &= \sum_{j=k}^{n} W_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(j-1,k-1) (\alpha_k + km)^{n-j}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.4) we obtain the exponential generating function of the $(q, \bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})$-Whitney numbers of the second kind $W_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} W_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k) \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} &= \sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{1}{\prod_{i=0, i\neq j}^{k} ([\alpha_j + jm]_q - [\alpha_i + im]_q)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{([\alpha_j + jm]_q\, t)^n}{[n]_q!} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{1}{\prod_{i=0, i\neq j}^{k} ([\alpha_j + jm]_q - [\alpha_i + im]_q)} e_q([\alpha_j + jm]_q\, t). \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Theorem 6. *The generating function of $W_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)$ is given by*

$$Y_{k,q}(t) = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} W_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)\, t^n = t^k \prod_{j=0}^{k} (1 - [\alpha_j + jm]_q t)^{-1},\ t < \frac{1}{[\alpha_k + km]_q} \tag{3.7}$$

where $k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, and

$$Y_{k,q}(0) = 0 \text{ for } k \geq 1 \text{ and } Y_{0,q}(t) = (1 - [\alpha_0]_q t)^{-1}. \tag{3.8}$$

Proof. Equation (3.8) can easily obtained from the definition of generating function

$$Y_{0,q}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} W_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,0)\, t^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [\alpha_0]_q^n\, t^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} ([\alpha_0]_q\, t)^n = (1 - [\alpha_0]_q\, t)^{-1}.$$

From (3.2), we get

$$\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} W_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)\, t^n = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} W_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n-1,k-1)\, t^n + (\alpha_k + km) \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} W_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n-1,k)\, t^n.$$

Thus we obtain the recurrence relation for the generating function $Y_{k,q}(t)$

$$Y_{k,q}(t) = t\, Y_{k-1,q} + [\alpha_k + km]_q\, t\, Y_{k,q}(t), \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots .$$

Hence

$$Y_{k,q}(t) = \frac{t}{(1 - [\alpha_k + km]_q\, t)}\, Y_{k-1,q}(t), \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots . \tag{3.9}$$

Applying successively this recurrence, we get Eq. (3.7). □

The previous theorem shows that the numbers $W_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)$ are the complete symmetric function of the numbers $[\alpha_0]_q$, $[\alpha_1 + m]_q$, $\ldots$, $[\alpha_k + km]_q$ of order $n - k$.

We obtain from Eq. (3.7)

$$\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} W_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)\, t^{n-k} = \prod_{j=0}^{k} (1 - [\alpha_j + jm]_q t)^{-1}, \quad t < \frac{1}{[\alpha_k + km]_q}.$$

Expanding the right hand side and comparing the coefficients of t^{n-k} yields

$$W_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k) = \sum_{0 \le j_1 \le \cdots \le j_{n-k} \le k} \prod_{i=1}^{n-k} [\alpha_{j_i} + j_i\, m]_q.$$

4 Orthogonality and Inverse Relations

The orthogonality and the inverse relations for the $\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$-Whitney numbers of both kinds were obtained in [5]. In this section, we establish analogous properties for the $(q, \bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})$-Whitney numbers of both kinds.

Theorem 7. *The $(q, \bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})$-Whitney numbers of the first and second kind satisfy the following orthogonality relations:*

$$\sum_{k=j}^{n} W_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)\, w_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(k,j) = \delta_{nj}, \tag{4.1}$$

and

$$\sum_{k=j}^{n} w_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)\, W_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(k,j) = \delta_{nj}. \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. Using (2.1) and (3.1) give

$$\begin{aligned}[x]_q^n &= \sum_{k=0}^{n} W_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)\big\langle [x;\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}|m]_q \big\rangle_k \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n} W_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k) \sum_{j=0}^{k} w_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(k,j)[x]_q^j \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n} \{\sum_{k=j}^{n} W_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k) w_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(k,j)\}[x]_q^j.\end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficients of $[x]_q^j$ gives

$$\sum_{k=j}^{n} W_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k) w_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(k,j) = \delta_{nj}.$$

The second relation can be proved similarly. □

The orthogonality properties give the following identities

$$\mathbf{W}_2\ \mathbf{W}_1 = \mathbf{W}_1\ \mathbf{W}_2 = \mathbf{I}. \text{ Thus } \mathbf{W}_2^{-1} = \mathbf{W}_1 \text{ and } \mathbf{W}_1^{-1} = \mathbf{W}_2.$$

The following theorem can easily be deduced from Theorem 7.

Theorem 8. *The $(q,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})$-Whitney numbers of the first and second kind satisfy the following inverse relations*

$$f_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} W_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)\, g_k \iff g_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} w_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)\, f_k. \tag{4.3}$$

Proof. If the condition

$$f_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} W_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)\, g_k$$

holds, then

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=0}^{n} w_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)\, f_k &= \sum_{k=0}^{n} w_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k) \sum_{m=0}^{k} W_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(k,m)\, g_m \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{k} (\sum_{k=m}^{n} w_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k) W_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(k,m)) g_m.\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 7, we get

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} w_{q,m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)\, f_k = \sum_{m=0}^{n} \delta_{mn}\, g_m = g_n.$$

The converse can be shown similarly. □

Special cases:

1. Setting $m = 1$ and $\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = (r, r, \ldots, r) := \mathbf{r}$, then (2.1) and (3.1), respectively, give
$$w_{q,1,\mathbf{r}}(n,k) = s_q(n,k,r) \text{ and } W_{q,1,\mathbf{r}}(n,k) = S_q(n,k,r),$$
where $s_q(n,k,r)$ and $S_q(n,k,r)$ are the non-central q-Stirling numbers of the first and second kind, respectively, see [3].

2. Setting $m = 1$ and $\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = (0, 0, \ldots, 0) := \mathbf{0}$, hence (2.1) and (3.1), respectively, give
$$w_{q,1,\mathbf{0}}(n,k) = s_q(n,k), \text{ and } W_{q,1,\mathbf{0}}(n,k) = S_q(n,k),$$
where $s_q(n,k)$ and $S_q(n,k)$ are the q-Stirling numbers of the first and second kind, respectively, see [2, 7].

3. Setting $m = 1$ and $\alpha_j + j = \beta_j$, for $j = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$, then (2.1) and (3.1), respectively, give
$$w_{q,1,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k) = s_{q,\bar{\boldsymbol{\beta}}}(n,k) \text{ and } W_{q,1,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k) = S_{q,\bar{\boldsymbol{\beta}}}(n,k),$$
where $s_{q,\bar{\boldsymbol{\beta}}}(n,k)$ and $S_{q,\bar{\boldsymbol{\beta}}}(n,k)$ are the generalized q-Stirling numbers of the first and second kind (q-Comtet numbers), respectively, see [6].

5 The $\bar{\alpha}$-Whitney-Lah numbers

The signless Lah numbers $L(n,k) = \frac{n!}{k!}\binom{n-1}{k-1}$ were first studied by Lah [13] and they expressed in terms of the signless stirling numbers $s(n,k)$ of the first kind, and the stirling numbers $S(n,k)$ of the second kind
$$L(n,k) = \sum_{j=k}^{n} s(n,j)S(j,k).$$

Choen and Jung [4] defined the r-Whitney-Lah numbers $L_{m,r}(n,k)$ by
$$L_{m,r}(n,k) = \sum_{j=k}^{n} (-1)^{n-j} w_{m,r}(n,j) W_{m,r}(j,k).$$

Analogously, we define the $\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$-Whitney-Lah numbers $L_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)$ as follows:
$$L_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k) = \sum_{j=k}^{n} (-1)^{n-j}\, w_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,j)\, W_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(j,k), \tag{5.1}$$
where $L_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(0,0) = 1$ and $L_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k) = 0$ for $n < k$ or $k < 0$.

Theorem 9. *The $\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$-Whitney-Lah numbers $L_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)$ may be obtained from*

$$\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}(x+\alpha_i+im)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} L_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)(x;\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}|m)_k. \tag{5.2}$$

Proof. Replacing x by $-x$ in Eq. (1.1), we get

$$\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}(x+\alpha_i+im)=\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{n-j}w_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,j)\,x^j. \tag{5.3}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=0}^{n} L_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)(x;\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}|m)_k &= \sum_{k=0}^{n}\sum_{j=k}^{n}(-1)^{n-j}w_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,j)W_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(j,k)(x;\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}|m)_k\\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n}\sum_{k=0}^{j}(-1)^{n-j}w_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,j)\,W_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(j,k)(x;\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}|m)_k\\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{n-j}w_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,j)x^j=\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}(x+\alpha_i+im)\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 10. *The $\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$-Whitney-Lah numbers satisfy the recurrence relation*

$$L_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n+1,k)=L_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k-1)+(\alpha_k+\alpha_n+(k+n)m)L_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k), \tag{5.4}$$

where $n\geq k\geq 1$, for $k=0$ we have

$$L_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,0)=\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}(\alpha_0+\alpha_i+im). \tag{5.5}$$

Proof. We can write

$$\prod_{i=0}^{n}(x+\alpha_i+im)=\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}(x+\alpha_i+im)(x-\alpha_k-km+\alpha_k+km+\alpha_n+nm).$$

Using (5.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} L_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n+1,k)(x;\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}|m)_k\\ &=\sum_{k=0}^{n} L_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)(x;\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}|m)_k\big((x-\alpha_k-km)+(\alpha_k+km+\alpha_n+nm)\big)\\ &=\sum_{k=0}^{n} L_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)(x;\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}|m)_{k+1}+\sum_{k=0}^{n} L_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)(x;\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}|m)_k(\alpha_k+km+\alpha_n+nm)\\ &=\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} L_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k-1)(x;\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}|m)_k+\sum_{k=0}^{n} L_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)(x;\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}|m)_k(\alpha_k+km+\alpha_n+nm).\end{aligned}$$

Equating the coefficients of $(x;\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}|m)_k$ on both sides, we obtain (5.4).

For $k=0$, we find

$$L_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n+1,0) = L_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,0)\,(\alpha_0+\alpha_n+nm),\ n=0,1,2,\dots\ .$$

Consequently, we get

$$L_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,0) = L_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(0,0)\,2\alpha_0\,(\alpha_0+\alpha_1+m)\cdots(\alpha_0+\alpha_{n-1}+(n-1)m).$$

□

Special cases:

1. The $L_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)$ is reduced to $L(n,k)$ when $m=1$ and $\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}=(0,0,\dots,0)$.
2. The $L_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)$ is reduced to $L_{m,r}(n,k)$ when $\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}=(r,r,\dots,r)$.
3. The $L_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)$ is reduced to the r-Lah numbers $L_r(n+r,k+r)$ when $m=1$ and $\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}=(r,r,\dots,r)$, see [14].

Defining the $\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$-Whitney-Lah matrix as

$$\mathbf{L} := \mathbf{L}_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n) := \big(L_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(i,j)\big)_{0\le i,j\le n-1}.$$

For example when $n=4$ the matrix $\mathbf{L}_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n)$ is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2\alpha_0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2\alpha_0(\alpha_0+\alpha_1+m) & 2(\alpha_0+\alpha_1+m) & 1 & 0 \\ 2\alpha_0(\alpha_0+\alpha_1+m)(\alpha_0+\alpha_2+2m) & 2(\alpha_0+\alpha_1+m)(\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+3m) & 2(\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+3m) & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

In particular, when $\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}=(r,r,\dots,r)$ the $\mathbf{L}_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n)$ is reduced to the r-Whitney-Lah matrix [12].

Theorem 11. *The $\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$-Whitney-Lah numbers $L_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)$ have the explicit formula*

$$L_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}(\alpha_j+jm+\alpha_i+im)}{\prod_{i=0,i\neq j}^{k}(\alpha_j+jm-\alpha_i-im)}, \tag{5.6}$$

and the recurrence relation

$$L_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k) = \sum_{j=k}^{n} L_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(j-1,k-1)\prod_{i=j}^{n-1}(\alpha_i+im+\alpha_k+km). \tag{5.7}$$

Proof. The proof follows by setting $a_i=b_i=\alpha_i+im$ in (2.5) and (2.6). □

In particular, by setting $\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}=(r,r,\dots,r)$ we obtain the explicit formula and recurrence relation for $L_{m,r}(n,k)$ as follows:

Corollary 1. *The r-Whitney-Lah numbers $L_{m,r}(n,k)$ satisfy the following:*

$$L_{m,r}(n,k) = \frac{1}{m^k k!} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{k}{j} (-1)^{k-j} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (2r + jm + im). \tag{5.8}$$

$$L_{m,r}(n,k) = \sum_{j=k}^{n} L_{m,r}(j-1,k-1) \prod_{i=j}^{n-1} (2r + km + im). \tag{5.9}$$

Choen and Jung [4] showed that

$$L_{m,r}(n,k) = \binom{n}{k} \prod_{i=0}^{n-k-1} (2r + km + im). \tag{5.10}$$

Thus from (5.8) and (5.10) we obtain the following combinatorial identity

$$\frac{1}{m^k k!} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{k}{j} (-1)^{k-j} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (2r+jm+im) = \binom{n}{k} \prod_{i=0}^{n-k-1} (2r+km+im). \tag{5.11}$$

5.1 Matrix representations

Let $\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{W}$ and $\mathbf{L}$ denote infinite lower triangular matrices whose (n,k)-th entries are $w_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)$, $W_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)$, and $L_{m,\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(n,k)$, respectively. Furthermore, let $\mathbf{D}$ be the infinite diagonal matrix whose (n,k)-th entry is $D(n,k) = (-1)^n \delta nk$, hence $\mathbf{D}^{-1} = \mathbf{D}$, and $\mathbf{D}\mathbf{D}^{-1} = \mathbf{I}$. Equation (5.1) can be written in the matrix form

$$\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{DwDW}.$$

El-Desouky et al. [5] showed that $\mathbf{w}^{-1} = \mathbf{W}$, $\mathbf{W}^{-1} = \mathbf{w}$. Thus

$$\mathbf{L}^{-1} = \mathbf{W}^{-1}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{w}^{-1}\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{wDWD} = \mathbf{DDwDWD} = \mathbf{DLD}.$$

References

[1] M. Benoumhani: *On Whitney numbers of Dowling lattices.* Discrete Math., **159** (1996), 13–33.

[2] L. Carlitz: *q-Bernoulli numbers and polynomials.* Duke Math. J., **15** (1948), 987–1000.

[3] Ch. A. Charalambides: *Non-central generalized q-factorial coefcients and q-Stirling numbers.* Discrete Math., **275** (2004), 67–85.

[4] G. S. Cheon, J. H. Jung: *r-Whitney numbers of Dowling lattices.* Discrete Math., **312** (2012), 2337–2348.

[5] B. S. El-Desouky, Nenad P. Cakić, and F. A. Shiha: *New Family of Whitney Numbers.* Filomat (accepted).

[6] B. S. El-Desouky, R.S. Gomaa: *q-Comtet and generalized q-harmonic numbers.* J. Math. Sci. Adv. Appl., **10** (1/2)(2011), 33–52.

[7] H. W. Gould: *The q-Stirling numbers of the first and second kinds.* Duke Math. J., **28** (1961), 281–289.

[8] M. M. Mangontarum, J. Katriel: *On q-boson operators and q-analogues of the r-Whitney and r-Dowling numbers.* J. Integer Seq., **18** (2015), Art. 15.9.8.

[9] T. Mansour, S. Mulay, M. Shattuck: *A general two-term recurrence and its solution.* European. J. Combin., **33**(2012) 20–26.

[10] M. Merca: *A new connection between r-Whitney numbers and Bernoulli polynomials.* Integral Transforms Spec. Funct., **25**(12) (2014), 937–942.

[11] I. Mezö: *A new formula for the Bernoulli polynomials.* Results Math., **58** (2010), 329–335.

[12] I. Mezö, J. L. Ramírez: *The linear algebra of the r-Whitney matrices.* Integral Transforms Spec. Funct., **26** (2015), 213–225.

[13] I. Lah: *A new kind of numbers and its application in the actuarial mathematics.* Bol.Inst. Actuár. Port., **9** (1954), 7–15.

[14] G. Nyul, G. Racz: *The r-Lah numbers.* Discrete Math., **338** (2015), 1660–1666.